

#### **Basic Pulse Sequences II - Spin Echoes**







#### Lecture #6 Summary



## **Inversion Recovery**



# $(180^{\circ} - TI - 90^{\circ} - TD)_N$





### **IR Contrast**

$$A_{fid} \propto \rho \left( 1 - 2e^{-TI/T_1} + e^{-TR/T_1} \right)$$

$$I(\vec{r}) \propto \rho \left(\vec{r}\right) \left( 1 - 2e^{-TI/T_1(\vec{r})} + e^{-TR/T_1(\vec{r})} \right) \text{Eqn. 7.27}$$

The final image is the product of  $\rho(r)$  and  $f(T_1(r))$ . The final image contrast is controlled by TI and TR.





# **IR Signal Nulling Effect**

Target T<sub>1</sub>

$$TI_{null} = \left[\ln 2 - \ln \left(1 + \exp^{-TR/T_1^0}\right)\right] T_1^0$$

#### $TI_{null} = [\ln 2] T_1^0, \text{ if } TR \longrightarrow \infty$

$$I(\vec{r}) = 0$$
, if  $T_1(\vec{r}) = T_1^0(\vec{r})$ 





#### SR vs. IR







#### **Inversion Pulse - Applications**

- Greater T<sub>1</sub> contrast than SR
- T<sub>1</sub> species nulling/attenuation
  - FLAIR (Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery)
  - STIR (Short Tau Inversion Recovery)
- IR is better than SR for generating contrast when:
  - $\rho(A)=\rho(B)$  and  $T_2(A)=T_2(B)$
  - AND
  - $T_1(A)$  and  $T_1(B)$  are slightly different
- Quantitative T<sub>1</sub> mapping

$$I(\vec{r}) \propto \rho(\vec{r}) \left(1 - 2e^{-TI/T_1(\vec{r})} + e^{-TR/T_1(\vec{r})}\right)$$
Eqn. 7.21

The final image is the product of  $\rho(r)$  and  $f(T_1(r))$ .

The final image contrast is controlled by TI and TR.









# **STIR Images**





http://www.svuhradiology.ie/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/STIRmetscombo.jpg









# **FLAIR Images**

#### FLAIR can distinguish fat from CSF.





http://www.neuroradiologycases.com/2011/11/intracranial-lipoma.html



# **FLAIR Images**



#### Lesion has long T2 and intermediate T1. Not fat. Not CSF. Cerebral hydatid.



http://www.neuroradiologycases.com/2011\_08\_01\_archive.html



# Lecture #6 Learning Objectives

- Appreciate the definition of image contrast.
- Explain what a T1 or T2-weighted image is.
- Describe what a pulse sequence is.
- Understand the saturation recovery pulse sequence and the saturation condition.
- Describe the inversion recovery sequence.
- Distinguish between STIR and FLAIR.



#### **Off-Resonance**

#### Isochromats

- Isochromat Group of nuclear spins with the same resonant frequency
- Ideally all spins in a "system" have the same resonance frequency
- Multiple isochromats arise from:
  - B<sub>0</sub> inhomogeneity (heterogeneity!)
  - Chemical shift effects
  - Magnetic susceptibility differences
  - Gradients





#### Isochromats







#### Isochromats







# **B**<sub>0</sub> Inhomogeneity

# Spin Dephasing

- Intravoxel spin dephasing from:
  - Off-resonance
    - B<sub>0</sub> inhomogeneity
    - Chemical shift effects
    - Susceptibility differences (macro and micro)
      - Blood products (*iron*)
      - Blood oxygenation levels
  - Applied gradients
    - Strong gradients produce more spin dephasing
- ... leads to:
  - Loss of spin phase coherence
  - Usually within a voxel
  - Leads to a decreased echo amplitude.
- Minimized by:
  - Field shimming
  - Susceptibility manipulation
  - Refocusing pulses





# Intravoxel Spin Dephasing



David Geffen School of Medicine Signal loss from spin dephasing and T<sub>2</sub>\*.



- Nuclear (eg <sup>1</sup>H) spins surrounded by different chemical environments
- Orbiting electrons shield the nucleus
- Referenced against tetramethylsilane
  - Assigned a chemical shift of zero



tetramethylsilane









Type of Proton	Structure	Chemical Shift, ppm	
Cyclopropane	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	0.2	
Primary	R-CH <sub>3</sub>	0.9	
Secondary	R <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> 1.3		
Tertiary	R <sub>3</sub> -C-H	1.5	
Vinylic	C=C-H 4.6-5.9		
Acetylenic	triple bond,CC-H	2-3	
Aromatic	Ar-H	6-8.5	
Benzylic	Ar-C-H	2.2-3	



http://wwwchem.csustan.edu/tutorials/nmrtable.htm



#### **Chemical Shift Artifact**

**Normal Spins** 

$$\xrightarrow{}$$

Off-Resonant Spin



Frequency is linearly related to spatial position.





## **Chemical Shift Artifact**

Readout



 $BW = \pm 4kHz$ 

Low Bandwidth Large Fat-Water Shift High SNR  $BW = \pm 8kHz$ 

 $BW = \pm 16 kHz$ 

High Bandwidth Small Fat-Water Shift Low SNR



David Geffen School of Medicine

## Magnetic Susceptibility

# Magnetic Susceptibility ( $\chi$ )

- Ability of a substance to become magnetized
  - (-) Susceptibility slightly decreases the magnetic field
    - Its magnetization is oppositely directed
  - (+) Susceptibility slightly increases the magnetic field
    - Its magnetization is parallel with the main field

$$B = (1 + \chi) B_0$$

	Temperature [°C]	Pressure [atm]	χ [m³∙kg⁻¹]
Vacuum	Any	0	0
Water	20	1	-9.04×10 <sup>-6</sup>
O <sub>2</sub>	20	0.209	3.73×10 <sup>-7</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	20	0.781	-5.06×10 <sup>-9</sup>





# Magnetic Susceptibility

- <u>Diamagnetic</u> A substance with a small negative magnetic susceptibility
  - Oxyhemoglobin has a diamagnetism similar to tissue
- <u>Paramagnetic</u> A substance with a small but positive magnetic susceptibility.
  - Deoxyhemoglobin more paramagnetic than tissue
- <u>Ferromagnetic</u> A substance that has a large positive magnetic susceptibility.
  - Iron particles
- Large susceptibility gradients at:
  - Tissue-Air interfaces
  - Around metallic implants
  - Can cause large image artifacts





# Magnetic Susceptibility





Image from Malcolm Levitt



### **Metal Artifacts**



2D FSE of a shoulder.



3D FSE of a knee.

Koch KM et al. Imaging near metal with a MAVRIC-SEMAC hybrid. Magn Reson Med 2011;65(1):71-82 [PMID 20981709].





## Susceptibility Weighted Imaging (SWI)



Multiple microbleeds in cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). A and B, T1-weighted (**A**) and T2-weighted (**B**) images do not reveal significant abnormalities except for the lesion in the left temporoparietal area. **C**, MRA shows normal brain vascular structure. **D**, SWI demonstrates, in addition to hemorrhage in the left temporoparietal region, **multiple microbleeds** distributed along the gray/white matter interface in the whole brain, strongly suggesting CAA.



Mittal S *et al*. Susceptibility-weighted imaging: technical aspects and clinical applications, part 2. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2009;30(2):232-252.



## **Free Induction Decay**

# Free Induction Decay (FID)

#### • Free

- Signal arises from precession of bulk magnetization about B<sub>0</sub> after perturbation
- Induction
  - Signal is induced in a coil (Faraday's Law)
- Decay
  - Decreases in amplitude over time

#### Characteristics:

- Amplitude maximum at t=0
- Decay rate depends on:
  - $T_2$ ,  $T_2^*$ , and spectral distribution ( $T_2^{**}$ )

Pixel-level sources of off-resonance





## Free Induction Decay (FID)



# Free Induction Decay (FID)



# **Off-resonance Without Relaxation**





#### Single Isochromat with Relaxation



#### 100 Isochromats without Relaxation



#### 100 Isochromats with Relaxation





#### Source Code: PAM\_FrP\_woR\_wOFF\_LF.m



# Signal Decay

- T<sub>2</sub>
  - Irreversible spin-spin interactions
- T<sub>2</sub>\*
  - T<sub>2</sub> plus...
  - Spin de-phasing due to spin-level off-resonance
  - Reversible
- T<sub>2</sub>\*\*
  - $\ T_2^* \ plus ...$
  - Spin de-phasing due to voxel-level off-resonance
    - External field imperfections
  - Reversible





# T<sub>2</sub>\* Relaxation

- Intravoxel Spin Dephasing
  - Spin-spin (T<sub>2</sub>) dephasing combined with...
  - Off-resonance
    - B<sub>0</sub> inhomogeneity
      - Typically a few PPM over 30-50cm
        - » 1PPM = 640Hz = 1.5µT
    - Susceptibility differences (macro and micro)
      - Induce small field perturbations, therefore dephasing
    - Chemical shift effects
- Off-resonance can be rephased with a spin echo
  - Not with a gradient echo!
- $T_2^* < T_2$





# T<sub>2</sub>\* Relaxation







#### $T_2$ versus $T_2^*$

#### T<sub>2</sub> Decay

T<sub>2</sub>\* Decay



**UCLA** Radiology

# **Range of Off-Resonances**

- B<sub>0</sub>
  - <4ppm over 50cm DSV</p>
    - ~0.008ppm within a 1mm voxel
    - ~0.5Hz for <sup>1</sup>H at 1.5T within a 1mm voxel
- Susceptibility
  - -5.06ppb for  $N_2$  and 0.37ppm for  $O_2$
  - ~70.5ppb for air (0.8\*(-5.06e-9)+0.2\*(3.73e-7))
    - ~4.5Hz for *air* at 1.5T
- Chemical shift
  - ~3.4ppm for fat
    - ~217 Hz for <sup>1</sup>H at 1.5T within a voxel
- Gradients
  - 5G/cm or 0.5G/mm
    - 2128Hz for <sup>1</sup>H across a 1mm voxel





# **Free Induction Decay**

- Used for ultrashort echo time imaging of very short T<sub>2</sub> species
  - Positive contrast for bone, ligament, tendon, cartilage, meniscus, etc.
- Spin echoes and gradient echoes are methods that extend the usability of the FID signal





#### **UTE Pulse Sequence**



**Figure 4.** Pulse sequence diagram for a basic UTE sequence. The half rf pulses are applied with the slice selection gradient  $G_z$  negative in the first half and with this gradient positive in the second half. The rf pulse is truncated and followed rapidly by the acquisition during which  $G_x^2$  and  $G_y^2$  are applied to give the radial gradient. These gradients ramp up to a plateau during data acquisition



**Figure 5.** *k*-Space trajectories for the above imaging sequence. Each 'spoke' represents the *k*-space trajectory due to the readout gradients. The dots represent the central points which are sampled on the gradient ramps, and the stars the peripheral points which are sampled on the gradient plateau. Practical acquisitions typically include 128–512 spokes and 256–512 points on each spoke. The data points are regridded onto a Cartesian grid prior to 2D Fourier transformation



Robson MD, Bydder GM. NMR Biomed 2006;19(7):765-780 [PMID 17075960].



# Ultra Short TE (UTE) Images



FIG. 7. 3D UTE dual-echo data of the right ankle. (a) FID image at TE 30µs showing high signal from almost all tissues. The arrows indicate the Achilles tendon (AT). (b) Echo acquired at TE 2.3 ms. (c) Difference image highlighting short-T2 components. (d-f) Curved (nonplanar) reformatted views of the 3D difference image data set. The course of several tendons can be followed through the complex anatomy of the ankle. (d) Extensor hallucis longus tendon (EHLT). (e) Tibialis anterior tendon (TAT). (f) Flexor digitorum longus tendon (FDLT) crossing the flexor hallucis longus tendon (FHLT); the tibialis posterior tendon (TPT) is also visible.

Rahmer J, Bornert P, Groen J, Bos C. Magn Reson Med 2006;55(5): 1075-1082 [PMID 16538604].





# 

## Why echoes?

- Free Induction Decay
  - Signal decays rapidly
    - T<sub>2</sub>
- Spin-spin interaction
- Spectral (frequency) distribution
  - Micro-scale B-field heterogeneity (T<sub>2</sub>\*)
  - Macro-scale B-field heterogeneity (T2\*\*)
- Imaging requires certain "delays"
  - Slice-selective rephasing
  - Phase encoding
  - Read-out pre-phasing
- Echoes let us buy some time





#### What are echoes?

- Two-sided NMR signals
  - First half from re-focusing
  - Second half from de-phasing
- Radiofrequency Echoes
  - Arise from multiple RF-pulses
- Gradient Echoes
  - Arise from magnetic field gradient reversal

"it is easier to generate an echo than to ignore it in multiple-pulse MR experiments" --Liang & Lauterbur, Page 114





# Spin Echo Imaging

- Advantages
  - Insensitive to off-resonance
    - All spins within voxel rephased
    - B<sub>0</sub> inhomogeneity
    - Intravoxel Chemical shift signal loss
    - Susceptibility
  - Great for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $\rho$  contrast
    - Not T<sub>2</sub>\*
  - High SNR
- Disadvantages
  - TR can be long
    - Leads to long scan time
  - SAR can be high
    - Lots of 90s and 180s
    - Leads to patient heating





#### **Free Induction Decay**



We can "refocus" the signal with a refocusing RF pulse.





## **Refocusing Pulses**

- Typically, 180° RF Pulse
  - Provides optimally refocused M<sub>XY</sub>
  - Largest spin echo signal
- Refocus spin dephasing due to
  - imaging gradients
  - local magnetic field inhomogeneity
  - magnetic susceptibility variation
  - chemical shift





# **Refocusing Pulses**









#### Hard Refocusing Pulses

 $\mathrm{RF}_{\theta}^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{c}^{2}\theta + \mathrm{s}^{2}\theta\mathrm{c}\alpha & \mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\theta - \mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\theta\mathrm{c}\alpha & -\mathrm{s}\theta\mathrm{s}\alpha \\ \mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\theta - \mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\theta\mathrm{c}\alpha & \mathrm{s}^{2}\theta + \mathrm{c}^{2}\theta\mathrm{c}\alpha & \mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\alpha \\ \mathrm{s}\theta\mathrm{s}\alpha & -\mathrm{c}\theta\mathrm{s}\alpha & \mathrm{c}\alpha \end{bmatrix}$ 

Radiolog



















# Spin Echo - Refocusing





http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:HahnEcho\_GWM.gif





# To The Board...





# Spin Echo Contrast

$$\mathcal{M}_{z'}^{(4)}(0_{-}) = \mathcal{M}_{z}^{0} \left( 1 - 2e^{-(TR - TE/2)/T_{1}} + e^{-TR/T_{1}} \right)$$

This becomes the initial condition for the subsequent TR. Eqn. 7.24

$$A_{Echo} \propto \rho \left( 1 - 2e^{-(TR - TE/2)/T_1} + e^{-TR/T_1} \right) e^{-TE/T_2}$$

This the signal at time-point #3 for the second TR. Eqn. 7.25

If  $TE \ll TR$ , then

$$A_{Echo} \propto \rho \left(1 - e^{-TR/T_1}\right) e^{-TE/T_2} \quad \text{Eqn. 7.26}$$

This the signal at time-point #3 for the second TR when TE<<TR.





## Spin Echo Contrast

#### **Spin Echo Parameters**

Spin Density T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted T<sub>2</sub>-Weighted Short Short Intermediate

ΤE

Long Intermediate Long

TR

 $A_{Echo} \propto \rho \left( 1 - e^{-TR/T_1} \right) e^{-TE/T_2}$ 

Long TR Minimizes This Term Limits T<sub>1</sub> signal contribution. Long TE Emphasizes This Term Adds T<sub>2</sub> contrast.

Short T<sub>1</sub> Maximizes This Term

Leads to T<sub>1</sub> weighting.

Long T<sub>2</sub> Maximizes This Term

Long  $T_2$  is bright on  $T_2$ -weighted images.

IICI A

Radiology



# Spin Echo Contrast

#### **Spin Echo Parameters**



UCL

Radiology



### Spin Echo - Contrast





http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:HahnEcho\_GWM.gif





#### Short TE and Long TR is proton density weighted.







Delaying the 180° refocusing pulse delays the TE.







Longer TEs produce more T<sub>2</sub>-weighting.







Longer TEs produce more T<sub>2</sub>-weighting.







Long  $T_2$  is bright on  $T_2$ -weighted (long TE) images.





### Thanks



DANIEL B. ENNIS, PH.D. ENNIS@UCLA.EDU 310.206.0713 (OFFICE) HTTP://ENNIS.BOL.UCLA.EDU

PETER V. UEBERROTH BLDG. SUITE 1417, ROOM C 10945 LE CONTE AVENUE



