High-Speed Live-Cell Interferometry: A New Method for Quantifying Tumor Drug Resistance and Heterogeneity
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ABSTRACT: We report the development of high-speed live-cell interferometry (HSLCI), a new multisample, multidoor testing platform for directly measuring tumor therapy response via real-time optical cell biomass measurements. As a proof of concept, we show that HSLCI rapidly profiles changes in biomass in BRAF inhibitor (BRAFi)-sensitive parental melanoma cell lines and in their isogenic BRAFi-resistant sublines. We show reproducible results from two different HSLCI platforms at two institutions that generate biomass kinetic signatures capable of discriminating between BRAF-sensitive and -resistant melanoma cells within 24 h. Like other quantitative phase imaging (QPI) modalities, HSLCI is well-suited to noninvasive measurements of single cells and cell clusters, requiring no fluorescence or dye labeling. HSLCI is substantially faster and more sensitive than field-standard growth inhibition assays, and in terms of the number of cells measured simultaneously, the number of drugs tested in parallel, and temporal measurement range, it exceeds the state of the art by more than 10-fold. The accuracy and speed of HSLCI in profiling tumor cell heterogeneity and therapy resistance are promising features of potential tools to guide patient therapeutic selections.

Prompt and repeated assessments of tumor sensitivity to available therapeutics could dramatically improve cancer patients’ clinical outcomes by staying ahead of developing therapy resistance. However, to achieve this goal, substantial improvements in the state of the art will be required to create effective and practical therapy selection tools that rely on live-cell and tissue responses. There are a number of relevant performance measurables with which to evaluate live-cell drug response assays. These include (a) the number of drugs or drug combinations tested simultaneously, (b) the overall depth of sampling (i.e., the number of individual cells measured), and (c) the achievable duration of high-quality measurements. The present study describes a new technique, high-speed live-cell interferometry (HSLCI), that is based on rapid optical cell biomass measurements and, as quantified by the metrics mentioned, exceeds the current field standard by greater than 10-fold. Here we apply HSLCI as a proof of concept to metastatic melanoma, a disease in which tumor heterogeneity and drug resistance are significant obstacles to survival benefits from mutation-targeted therapy. Our results support the potential of HSLCI as a therapy selection tool, for dissecting overall tumor heterogeneity, and for predicting single-cell drug resistance and treatment outcomes, and we argue for further development of this technology in preclinical animal tests and early-phase clinical trials.

An estimated 87 110 new cases of cutaneous melanoma with 9730 deaths will occur for the United States in 2017. Despite comprising less than 2% of skin cancer diagnoses, melanoma is responsible for 75% of skin cancer deaths. Genetic landscape studies show interpatient, intrapatient, and intratumor heterogeneity. About 50% of melanomas harbor an activating mutation in the BRAF gene, whereas 10–25% of cases show an activating RAS mutation, 12–18% are mutant in NF1, and 7–28% of tumors show mutations in genes that include AKT.
PTEN. Most of these mutations increase mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway signaling activity, which regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival. Targeted therapies, most notably against BRAF V600E and V600K activating mutations, has improved progression-free survival for many melanoma patients. However, therapy resistance emerges in most cases of BRAF or MEK inhibitor monotherapy, often from preexisting or acquired mutations that reactivate the MAPK pathway downstream of the drug-targeted site. Current BRAF and MEK inhibitor combination therapies aim to reduce the frequency of emergent resistance. Drug selection guidance comes from clinical tumor staging, mutation screening, patient health, and prognostic factors such as lactate dehydrogenase levels. Despite multifactorial guidance, resistance eventually develops for up to 80% of patients receiving combination therapy. Genetic heterogeneity underlying mechanisms of preexisting and acquired resistance makes mutation screening incompletely predictive of drug susceptibility, both prior to the start of therapy and after the development of resistance, and increases the difficulty of selecting efficacious frontline and second-line therapies.

Current efforts in repeat tumor assessment focus on noninvasive liquid biopsy methodologies such as the detection and analysis of circulating exosomes, microRNAs, circulating tumor DNA, circulating tumor cells, and proteomic profiling of serum proteins by mass spectrometry. While samples from the circulation provide easily accessible materials that may be more representative of a patient’s tumor heterogeneity than single-site tumor biopsies, there are presently no reliable molecular biomarkers from circulation sampling to guide targeted melanoma therapy or improve outcome predictions. Other limitations include a lack of standardization, low sample yields, and the high cost of postisolation analyses, which makes many circulation-sampling methods impractical for broad-scale clinical implementations in their present state.

An alternative to circulating biomarkers is in vitro measurement of drug responses in excised tumor cells by chemosensitivity assays, such as ATP quantification or assessments of cell metabolic activity. Advocated by major cancer centers and international research organizations, such as the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), chemosensitivity assays have seen minimal adoption in melanoma treatment. This is mainly because of long, 3–7 day turnaround times that enable artifacts, a lack of supporting large-scale clinical trials, and poor compatibility with cancer heterogeneity due to the bulk, averaging nature of measurement methods.

We have previously shown that optical interferometric microscopy provides an exciting potential solution by profiling drug-induced growth arrest in living single cells or cell clumps via changes in biomass over time with picogram sensitivity. Like other quantitative phase imaging (QPI) modalities,

Figure 1. Schematic of HSLCI multwell biomass accumulation assay. (a) The high-speed live-cell interferometry (HSLCI) system is configured with (i) a wide-field phase-detection camera, (ii) fiber-coupled light-emitting diode (LED) illumination source, and (iii) and fluorescence imaging capability (camera, filters, and illuminator). Motorized stages (iv) control x–y motion of the sample above the microscope objective, while focus is automatically adjusted continuously by a piezo actuator coupled to the objective [10X Nikon Plan Fluorite, numerical aperture (NA) 0.3]. (b) Prior to imaging, cells and medium, including drug or vehicle, are dispensed into standard-format, glass-bottom multwell plates. (c) During imaging, the sample plate is translated along each row of wells, collecting 30 images/well on each pass. Typical imaging time is 2 min/row of six wells. (d) Following collection, phase images are automatically analyzed in a custom pipeline that includes background flattening, cell detection and segmentation, and biomass calculation. (e) Individual cells are observed between images on the basis of their position, and biomass versus time plots are generated. Shown is a typical normalized mass vs time plot for single vemurafenib-sensitive (red) and vemurafenib-resistant (blue) cells.
our technique is noninvasive and well-suited to this application due to its lack of fluorescence or dye labeling. However, our proof-of-principle work consisted of single-agent, small-scale studies of limited duration. The key remaining engineering challenge is to create a reliable platform for multitarget, multiclonal parallel screens without sacrificing measurement accuracy or assay acceleration. Meeting this challenge requires, at a minimum, an order of magnitude increase in the number of different conditions tested within a single experiment and a corresponding increase in the number of individual cells analyzed per hour. This is a sizable hurdle, for a variety of methodological reasons, but is absolutely required to enable practical, rapid response profiling of patterns of drug resistance and intratumor heterogeneity and, ultimately, for development of a reproducible therapy selection method.

To reach this goal, we created an entirely new platform, utilizing industrial-grade imaging hardware and accelerated automated image analysis and data processing pipelines using low-cost, multicore PC processors and additional software improvements. Importantly, to achieve the required order-of-magnitude greater imaging throughput, we built the HSLCI around a different optical configuration than that used in all previous work. The new system consists of a custom inverted microscope equipped with a modified Shack–Hartman wave-front sensing camera (SID4BIO, Phasics, Inc.) for rapid, vibration-insensitive cell mass measurements and a wide-field charge-coupled device (CCD) camera for correlative bright-field and fluorescence imaging (Figure 1). Dynamic focus stabilization enables continuous image collection over the entire sample area without pause. Stage-top or whole microscope enclosures provide long-term environment stability for imaging under physiology-approximating conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂). The HSLCI captures images from standard-format, glass-bottom multiwell cell culture plates, and each well can contain a different cell type exposed to a unique drug dose or combination. The HSLCI experiments described here utilized 4- and 24-well glass bottom plates, although well counts of up to 96 are possible depending on the experimental conditions, including cell concentration, population sampling depth, required temporal resolution, and other parameters.

This present work describes a two-center study using HSLCI to quantify biomass kinetics for three isogenic sensitive/resistant pairs of patient-derived, V600E BRAF mutant melanoma cell lines in response to BRAF inhibitor (BRAFI), vemurafenib, and a battery of U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved kinase inhibitors. We show that HSLCI-quantified biomass kinetic signatures during 24 h of drug exposure discriminate between drug-sensitive and drug-resistant tumor subpopulations. HSLCI data are reproducible between study sites and consistent with longer multiday growth inhibition assays.

Of particular practical importance for any future clinical laboratory use is HSLCI’s compatibility with presterilized, disposable, and standard-format multiwell sample plates. This enables efficient screening of multiple drugs and drug combinations in a single assay, simplifies sample handling, and avoids the need to sterilize and wash dedicated microfluidic components between runs.
RESULTS

Isogenic BRAF Inhibitor-Sensitive and -Resistant Melanoma Cell Lines. We evaluated three patient-derived V600E BRAF melanoma cell lines, M229P, M238P, and M249P, which are sensitive to the BRAFi, vemurafenib (IC_{50} < 1 μM), and their isogenic BRAFi-resistant sublines, M229R5, M238R1, and M249R4, created by vemurafenib coinoculation over time (Table S1). M229R5 and M238R1 developed BRAFi resistance via epigenomic reprogramming, which is thought to occur in regressing or residual melanoma tumors from patients treated with MAPK inhibitor (MAPKi) therapy. This nongenomic evolution results in a MAPK-redundant form of resistance.33 On the other hand, M249R4 acquired a Q61K NRAS mutation in addition to the V600E BRAF mutation. This concurrent BRAF/NRAS mutant configuration results in MAPK hyperactivation and a MAPK-addicted form of resistance, which is frequently detected during disease progression or with clinical relapses.33−35 Thus, these pairs of cell lines represent pre- and post-treatment models of differential-sensitivity states that are clinically relevant; therefore, we used these lines to evaluate HSLCI performance in biomass profiling.

Biomass Kinetic Responses to Vemurafenib Exposure. Our previous work in breast cancer and multiple myeloma indicated that changes in the population median growth rates between sensitive and resistant cell lines is detectable with confidence within a few hours of drug exposure.30,31 We also showed that the distribution of growth rates within a population is roughly Gaussian in both treated and control samples. There are no existing data for the rate of biomass change of BRAFi-sensitive or -resistant melanoma cells that grow as adherent single cells or clumps. Therefore, we measured the kinetics of vemurafenib response in the three paired, molecularly characterized melanoma lines using HSLCI, to establish rates and distributions of biomass change with or without drug exposure. First, we performed a standard multiday dose-escalation cell-counting assay to confirm sensitivity for the three parent and matched resistant lines at 1.0−10.0 μM vemurafenib exposure (Figure S1). As anticipated, the parental lines slowed and the matched resistant lines continued replicating with drug exposure. We next used 5 μM vemurafenib as the midpoint drug dose to measure the median population growth rate and cell mass by HSLCI for the six cell lines in the first 25 h of drug exposure, in order to quantify the average population kinetic response. (Figure 2a) Under these conditions, drug sensitivity of the M249P population was detectable as early as 6 h, while sensitivity of the M238P and M229P populations was detected at approximately 15 h. Significant growth rate reduction occurred in all three parental lines by 20 h. We observed significant natural variation in the growth rates of individual cells within each population, a result consistent with previous LCI studies. The distribution of single-cell hourly growth rates was typically symmetrical about the mean, with variation of roughly ±1% (standard deviation, SD) above and below the population mean. For example, plotting the M249P growth rate distribution obtained by HSLCI for each hour showed no change in the population median growth rate nor in the cellular growth rate distribution over the course of the 25 h experiment. (Figure S2a). In contrast, under the same conditions, 5 μM vemurafenib exposure showed population growth-rate heterogeneity and a decline below zero growth rate by about 15 h for >50% of cells (Figure S2b), indicative of the relative sensitivity of this line to the BRAF inhibitor. Similar temporal single-cell growth-rate distributions were seen in the other five cell lines, with kinetics proportional to the line’s overall median sensitivity. These results were reproduced at both experimental sites with independently assembled HSLCI platforms.

By comparing the median cell mass of the vemurafenib-resistant melanoma lines to the mass of their isogenic, drug-sensitive parent lines, we found no consistent correlation between mass and resistance (Figure S3). This observation stands in contrast to a recent report of cell mass–drug resistance correlation in a mouse acute myeloid leukemia (AML) model, as measured by microfluidic devices.39 However, that study did not compare isogenic paired sensitive and resistant tumor lines, and it remains to be determined whether or not mass itself is a useful metric of drug sensitivity.

The midpoint kinetic response data suggested that vemurafenib sensitivity, or lack thereof, would be distinguishable for all lines in a drug-escalation assay, as would be expected for cell counting, by measuring changes in sample growth rates after 24 h of drug exposure. To test this hypothesis and to examine the HSLCI methodology for multidose and multiagent screening, we collected short-term, 10-h growth rate measurements of all three cell line pairs in parallel, at escalating vemurafenib doses, using a 24-well format. All six melanoma cell lines were dosed with 0.1% DMSO or 1, 5, or 10 μM vemurafenib. The parental lines (M229P, M238P, and M249P) showed a clear pattern of increasing growth inhibition at escalating drug concentrations, whereas the resistant lines (M229R5, M238R1, and M249R4) showed no growth inhibition over the drug dosing range compared to a vehicle DMSO control, consistent with cell-counting assays (Figure 2b).

Heterogeneity Quantification. We used receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis to determine the ability to distinguish individual resistant cells from sensitive cells, in an in silico mixture, by changes in their individual growth rates during exposure to vemurafenib (Figure 2c). This analysis indicated that cells from both M229P and M238P lines were distinguishable from their resistant derivative counterparts at vemurafenib doses of 5 μM [area under the curve (AUC) 0.60 and 0.85, respectively] and 10 μM (AUC 0.78 and 0.75, respectively). The M249P cells were the most sensitive to drug and were easily distinguishable on the basis of changes in growth rate, with AUC greater than 0.90 at vemurafenib doses of 1 μM and above.

We then deployed HSLCI to quantify the changes in growth rates of an actual mixed population of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled M249R4 vemurafenib-resistant (M249R4-GFP) and unlabeled M249P vemurafenib-sensitive cells during drug exposure. Importantly, stable GFP expression in the M249R4 line did not significantly alter the growth rate distribution obtained by LCI for each hour of 5 μM vemurafenib exposure compared with unlabeled M249R4 cells (Figure S2c). Sensitive M249P and resistant M249R4-GFP cells grew together at a 1:1 ratio with 5 μM vemurafenib were imaged over 48 h (Figure 3a−c). In mixed culture, individual resistant cells were discriminated from sensitive cells on the basis of differences in growth rates (Figure 3d), and because the M249R4 cells were GFP-marked, they were easily identified relative to the unmarked M249P cells during the assay. Reproducibly, the population growth rate of M249R4 cells exceeded that of M249P cells, as expected, but each marked and unmarked population also showed outlier cells. A small percentage of
M249R4-GFP cells showed zero to slightly negative growth rates, whereas a small percentage of M249P cells showed net positive growth rates, revealing unanticipated vemurafenib-sensitive or -resistant outliers within each bulk population. As predicted from the in silico analysis, ROC analysis confirmed a high level of discrimination between sensitive and resistant melanoma cells (AUC 0.88), even when sensitive and resistant cells were combined in the same sample wells (Figure 3e). Similar trends were seen in 10:1 sensitive/resistant mixtures as well (Figure S4).

MEK Inhibitors with Vemurafenib-Resistant Melanoma. We performed rapid HSLCI dose–response assays in triplicate, using a panel of three FDA-approved and two investigational kinase inhibitors tested in clinical trials for treating metastatic melanoma, to simulate selection of salvage therapy for patients who develop resistance to front-line vemurafenib (Figure 4). One inhibitor in the panel targets BRAF, whereas the other four target MEK1 or MEK1/2 (Table S2). We selected M249R4 cells for study because of their robust growth profile and strong resistance to vemurafenib. Figure 4 shows typical results from two individual experimental runs, while Figure S5 shows results from all repeats fitted to a sigmoidal dose–response function for reference. Control DMSO-treated cells exhibited a median growth rate of \(\sim 2.5\% \cdot h^{-1}\) at 0.1% DMSO concentration (v/v), decreasing slightly to 2% \(\cdot h^{-1}\) at higher concentrations (0.3%–0.5% v/v). For each targeted kinase inhibitor, the peak tolerated serum concentration \(\left(C_{\text{max}}, \text{nanograms per milliliter}\right)\), as measured in clinical trials, is shown on the dose–response curves by an asterisk (*). (See Supporting Information for details of \(C_{\text{max}}\) determination.) As expected for this highly vemurafenib-resistant line, the BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib showed no growth inhibition as compared to the DMSO control. The MEK1 inhibitor cobimetinib and the MEK1/2 inhibitor trametinib were the most effective growth inhibitors: cobimetinib completely arrested median sample growth at 0.255 \(\mu M\) concentration, which is roughly half of the maximum tolerated serum concentration, while trametinib arrested growth at a concentration between 4 and 40 nM, or between 0.1\(\times\) and 1\(\times\) \(C_{\text{max}}\). MEK1/2 inhibitor selumetinib arrested growth at 2.55 \(\mu M\), equal to 1\(\times\) \(C_{\text{max}}\) while MEK1 inhibitor binimetinib failed to halt growth at concentrations below 2.91 \(\mu M\), or 5\(\times\) \(C_{\text{max}}\).

Figure 3. Detecting resistant cells in a mixed population. (a) Optical thickness (LCI, left) and in-register fluorescence images (FL, right) of M249R4-GFP vemurafenib-resistant cells. (b) LCI and fluorescence images of M249P vemurafenib-sensitive cells. (c) LCI and fluorescence images of a 1:1 mixture of M249P (red arrows, unlabeled) and M249R4 (labeled) cells. (d) Plot of biomass versus growth rate of a 1:1 M249P (blue)/M249R4-GFP (red) cell mixture exposed to 5 \(\mu M\) vemurafenib for 48 h. Cell identities are marked by fluorescence signals. (e) ROC curve classifying single sensitive versus resistant cells by their growth rates during exposure to 5 \(\mu M\) vemurafenib. The blue line is calculated from M229P and M249R4 cells imaged in separate wells, whereas the red line is calculated from a 1:1 cell mixture in the same wells (representative data are shown in panel d). Data shown are from a single representative experiment (\(n = 3–5\)).

Figure 4. Effects of five kinase inhibitors on vemurafenib-resistant melanoma measured by HSLCI. M249R4 cells were plated into 24-well plates and dosed at increasing concentrations of each inhibitor. After 24 h of incubation, the plate was imaged by HSLCI continuously for 10 h. Typically four different doses for each inhibitor, and four DMSO controls, were measured in each run simultaneously. Data in the figure represent two typical experimental runs, using different dose gradations. Hourly growth rates were automatically calculated for individual cells in each sample by linear fit to the biomass vs time data. Each box plot summarizes the hourly growth rates of a population of cells exposed to escalating concentrations of each drug. Individual dots in the underlying scatter plots represent the growth rates of single cells. Box-plot notches are indicative of 95% confidence intervals for the medians. Median number of cells per well: top panel 159 (range 79–216); bottom panel 160 (range 59–294).
suggesting that binimetinib would be an unlikely candidate for salvage therapy in this simulated case.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we demonstrated the ability of a rapid HSLCI platform to quantify individual cell drug sensitivity in tumor cell populations. This quantification may provide critical data for treatment selections on a whole-tumor population level and can identify specific subpopulation drug sensitivities to predict drug resistance at a single-cell level. Furthermore, concurrent data obtained from two institutions with independently constructed and standardized HSLCI platforms highlights the reproducibility of two similar but distinct implementations. This two-center study design is unique among live-cell response profiling approaches and provides confidence that the newly configured HSLCI has the required consistency to warrant further development as a clinically useful approach.

In comparison to other single-live-cell biomass profiling approaches, including our own prior interference microscopic studies, HSLCI represents a substantial technical advance in single-cell sampling throughput, cell-tracking duration, and parallel measurement of multiple agents. For example, Stevens et al. recently used microchannel resonators to demonstrate that the combined measurement of single-cell mass and growth rates could be used to identify drug-resistant cells isolated from an engineered mouse AML model. Their high-throughput “next-gen” system with 12 microresonators could measure up to 60 cells/hour, where cells are measured serially, each for 15 min, resulting in simple snapshots in time. Unfortunately, tumors that grow in small clusters or clumps, as well as melanoma samples, are inaccessible to this platform unless they are disaggregated, which affects their growth characteristics and drug sensitivity.

In contrast, HSLCI typically measures between 10³ and 10⁴ cells in each experiment across a total area greater than 700 mm², tracking each cell individually for hours to days, and is well-suited to tumors that grow in clusters or clumps without disaggregation. In addition, identifying rare resistant clones in a population of normal or therapy-sensitive malignant cells requires such deep sampling. For instance, typical minimal residual disease detection by multicolor flow cytometry requires sampling to a depth of at least 10⁴ and up to 10⁶ cells. The primary drawback of HSLCI compared to microscopic, single-cell snapshot fluorescent assays and microresonator mass assays is the relatively large data footprint and extensive image analysis required to generate a biologically interpretable result. At present, data analysis time, not hardware capability, is throughput-limiting. On the other hand, the single-cell images generated by HSLCI are inherently information-rich, allowing not only mass accumulation but also cytokinesis, motility, and cell-shape information to be quantified. Integrating these mutually supporting metrics will be a direction for future research. Furthermore, system upgrades can be largely accomplished by software rather than hardware modifications, making the upgrade path efficient and flexible. Fast cell mass measurement by phase imaging would be complementary to high-content screening systems based on automated confocal fluorescence microscopy. Finally, on the basis of our results, it is likely that other forms of interference microscopy can be similarly adapted to enable faster measurement speeds.

**METHODS**

**Cell Lines.** M229P, M229R5, M238P, M238R1, M249P, and M249R4 cell lines were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), high glucose with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Omega Scientific) and 2 mM glutamine in a 37 °C, humidified, 5% CO₂ incubator. M229R5, M238R1, and M249R4 cells were exposed to 1 μM vemurafenib every 2–3 days. Cell counting from 6-well plates with controls and a range of vemurafenib concentrations was performed for 5 days following overnight seeding.

**Population Kinetic Response Experiments.** Cells were synchronized by growing to confluence in 75 mL tissue culture flasks and collected by a shake-off technique. Cells were plated at 1 × 10⁵ cells/mL in 25 mm dishes and incubated overnight. Prior to imaging, samples were equilibrated thermally for 1 h on the microscope stage and then imaged for 3 h, after which either 0.1% DMSO vehicle control or 5 μM vemurafenib was administered and dishes were waimaged for another 25–30 h.

**Vemurafenib Dose–Response Experiments.** Cells were first synchronized by shake-off, each of the six melanoma cell lines was seeded into four wells each of a 24-well glass bottom plate at 1 × 10⁵ cells/mL, and the plates were incubated overnight. Each line was dosed with 0.1% DMSO carrier control, or 1, 5, or 10 μM vemurafenib. Cells were incubated for 24 h, and then the entire plate was imaged by HSLCI for 10 h.

**Fluorescence Mixing Experiments.** M249P and M249R4-GFP (1.25 × 10⁵ cells each) were added together in a total volume of 1 mL tissue culture medium. A portion (0.7 mL) of the mixture was dispensed into each well of an Ibidi 4-well Ph+ μ-slide. Cells settled over 6 h, after which 5 μM vemurafenib was added to each well. Ibidi oil sealed the liquid
opening of each well before the plate was placed onto the LCI stage. All wells were immediately incubated for 48 h. Fluorescence images were taken with a Hamamatsu EM CCD camera (C9100-02 EMCCD) serially after every five phase-imaging loops were completed. Green fluorescence was captured by use of a 38 HE green fluorescent filter set (Zeiss) with an excitation wavelength of 450–490 nm, a beamsplitter wavelength of 496 nm, and an emission wavelength of 500–550 nm. Fluorescence excitation was provided by an X-Cite 120Q wide-field fluorescence microscope excitation light source (Excellitas).

**Kinase Inhibitor Panel Assay.** M249R4 cells were plated in a 24-well optical glass-bottomed plate (catalog no. P24-0-N, Cellvis) at 1×10^4 cells/mL (total of 1 mL in each of 24 wells) in medium (DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine) containing 1 μM vemurafenib. Plated cells were allowed to adhere overnight at 37°C in 5% CO2. All cells were washed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4, and provided with fresh medium. Immediately following washing and feeding, cells were dosed with inhibitors at dose-escalating concentrations and incubated under standard cell-culture conditions for 24 h. After incubation, cells were imaged for 10 h by use of the HSCLI system.
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